

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/717,680	<b>Applicant(s)</b> LOVELL ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Insun Kang	<b>Art Unit</b> 2193	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** pending

(1) Insun Kang (examiner).

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Mr. McGlynn (reg. 42,863).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 14 December 2007

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:


**Part II.**


**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*During the telephone interview, amendments that were necessary in order to further clarify the claims and obviate any rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112 2nd and 101 were discussed and agreement on the amendments has been reached.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**MENG-AL T. AN**  
**SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**  
**TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100**

  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)